Conclusion:

SCIENCE MUST HAVE MISSED SOMETHING OUT THERE

A Danish zoologist once told me, upon discussing the importance of finding the Loch Ness Monster: "This would not, as you put it, be the most important zoological discovery in the twentieth century, but merely a discovery of some significance".

I thought that this man, who himself had studied "dancing mosquitos (the kind that swarm all around you in summer nights, without biting for 11 years, at a yearly salary of 300 000 Danish crowns, stood alone with such a stupid view but I was grossly mistaken.

A Swedish zoologist not only said that this, that the Loch Ness Mons (and others) were of no importance what so ever to him and his colleages, but also that lake monsters in general was a waste of time since "there is nothing to gain from such research, are no funds for nonsens and never will be".

I think that the zoologist´s are afraid that all the witnesses a proof of, that they have missed something out there.

UNEXPLORED

Limnologist´s, however, (who study inland salt lakes as well a all freshwater habitats) generally admit that most larger lakes are unexplored, and that is not only in Sweden. Okanagan Lake in British Columbia, Canada, home of the famous "Ogopogo", is an exception.

Most limnologogist´s are open to the question of possible lake monsters, but their study will not be able to substantiate whether there is such creatures in a lake or not, as they have no reference on how to search such things. Monster-hunters, who are the only one´s who can provide them with that, know too little about limnology to help out and so these two groups of experts work over o others heads, so to speak.

I know of limnologist´s who have seen things in Swedish lakes "that shouldn´t have been there", but they are all to afraid to loose their job, or worse, their credibility, if they come forward and what they have found are lost to us. The monster-hunters, on the othe hand, would not trust most of the limnologist´s, and for good reasons, and wont share what they have come up with.

The official view in Sweden, held by everyone from the Fishing Ministery to zoologist´s, limnologist´s and other scientist´s and experts on lakes is that "monsters" or unknow animals do not exist, never has and never will. What people believe th see are either mistaken identities or outright lies.

SOMETHING UNKNOWN

The Fishing Ministry is of course quite right when they say, that they know what kind of fish inhabits a lake. But when you ask them what they think that the people have seen, who claim that there is somethi unknown there as well, they stare at you with a blank expression. It i just as impossible as it sounds, to their ears, that there could be something unknown in that lake or any other lake.

Zoologist´s seldom leave their precious desk or office. They li in a world of theories, basking in the sun of others, patting each oth back. They all have a reputation to maintain, funds to apply for and microscopic studies to make. Unknown animals? That sounds far out, unproven, adventurous, and could.. no would, bring about a lot of ridi from colleagues. You know what you have, but not what you get.

Limnologist´s are mostly pretty young and could make a difference. But to do that they must have the guts to brake free from old values, stand up for people they say they do believe in and dare to challenge the beast´s of the deep, and maybe find something th seems to have been there for ages.

Once a limnologist dares to team up with a monster-hunter, I´r

positive that we will soon have an answer to what is seen, both at Lo Ness and Okanagan, Lake Storsjon in Sweden and Seljordsvatnet in Norway, and of course many many other lakes, around the globe.

But where do we find such a daring man?